

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,177	03/02/2004	Xiaorong Wang	P02083US1A	3192	
Chief IP Conse	7590 01/09/2008		EXAMINER		
Bridgstone Americas Holdings, Inc.			HARLAN, ROBERT D		
1200 Firestone Parkway Akron, OH 44317			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/791,177	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Robert D. Harian	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	iffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see Now);	OTE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	:		
non-allowable claim(s).		-	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	MAN V. M	
		Robert D. Harlan	1

Art Unit: 1796

Continuation of 13. Other: The Examiner maintains the final rejection. The affidavit is not convincing is that the control agents of Korm do not functionalize the outer layer of the nanoparticle..